1. ORC EVIDENCE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CHERRY INDUSTRY

The Cherry Industry ORC Evidence Framework only applies to growers from the Cherry Industry.

Owner Reimbursement Costs (ORCs) for the Cherry Industry are calculated using the formula for Perennial Trees (Schedule 6, Part 4.4.13 of the Emergency Plant Pest Response Deed, 2005). This formula is:

ORC = (A - B) + C + D + E + F + G + H + I.

A definition for each component of this formula is provided in the following table.

Principle – average for the year of destruction (price) will be estimated from the current year's price. The longer term average (over 5 years) will be made from the current year's estimate plus the previous 4 years (1+4).

Regional differences will need to be taken into account throughout the Evidence Framework.

	Definition of Elements from the EPPRD	Evidence Requirements (in hierarchical order)	Additional Information
Α	Loss of profit from the current Crop destroyed = $a^* y^* p$		

Definition of Elements from the EPPRD	Evidence Requirements (in hierarchical order)	Additional Information
a = area of tree Crop destroyed	Certification/assessment of the area of crop destroyed by an Authorised person using one of the following methods:	- 450 growers are on national database of growers and companies only
	 Accurate property maps will be the first tool used to calculate area. 	- Area statistics are roughly known by Cherry Growers of Australia Inc. but States collect
	2. Satellite imagery will be used if property maps are not available. This will depend on the specific jurisdictions capacity to access such information.	data like: • Areas • Age of trees • Varieties
	 Aerial photographs will be used if satellite imagery is not available. This will depend on the specific jurisdictions' capacity to access such information. 	See: <u>http://www.cherrygrowers.org.au/assets/ch</u> erry growers australia brochure.pdf
	4. On ground surveys will be conducted using GPS data if the previous options are not available at the time of incursion and crop destruction.	 For tree counts use Geographic Information System (GIS) mapping at a sufficient resolution.
		 An on the ground survey, including a tree count and variety confirmation will be required to verify the area and type of crop to be destroyed at the time of the incursion. This will be carried out by an Authorised person. If the incursion is in an urbanised area, backyard surveys will also be conducted by Authorised persons.
		- The jurisdictional legislative instrument (by whatever name) will identify the quarantine zone, and the Lead Agency must hold appropriate records of the area of crop Affected.

Definition of Elements from the EPPRD	Evidence Requirements (in hierarchical order)	Additional Information
 y = expected yield based on Owners' past records, taking into account any biennial bearing patterns. In particular, Owners claiming above average yields (and prices) must produce auditable records of above average returns in previous years to justify additional amounts in Owner Reimbursement Costs. If the Owner has no records, the regional average for that Crop is to be used. 	 Certification/assessment of the yield by an Authorised person using one of the following methods: Actual yield determined by harvesting the crop. If actual yield cannot be determined by harvesting the crop, expected yield for the current season will be calculated for the individual grower from their auditable historical yield data records averaged over 3 to 5 years. Where auditable grower records are unavailable packer/processor records for the appropriate varieties will be used. If records for the appropriate varieties are not available, averages of packer/processor records will be used. Where packer/processor records are not available, a 5 year local area/regional average will apply for the varieties used by the grower. Where regional data/records are not available, State/Territory 3-5 year averages will apply for the varieties used by the grower. 	 Labour is paid by the kilogram, or otherwise award hourly rate. Yield records are good overall. Australian Cherry Production Guide August 2011. http://www.cherrygrowers.org.au/assets/a ustralian cherry production guide.pdf An example of a program that is used by packers/processors is the PackManager Program (GV Custom Software). This program provides a full record of fruit supply and price and market.

Definition of Elements from the EPPRD	Evi	dence Requirements <i>(in hierarchical order)</i>	Additional Information
p = market price at farm gate at harvest time	1.	If there is a contract in place, the contract price for crops applicable under the contract will be used. This will be adjusted, according to contract terms, using the quality and grading values of the previous season if required. These records are typically kept by the grower.	 There are a range of processes available to growers for selling products. Growers may choose to: pick and pack their own produce; pick and then send their produce to a
	2.	If there is no contract, the price paid for the current crop will be sourced from packer records for the current season.	 packing house; have direct contact with retailers; or sell through a merchant/agent.
	З.	Where packer records are not available for the current season, the market price will be calculated for the individual grower from their auditable historical records,	 A percentage of the Industry has contracts in place with retailers, but this varies in regions.
	4.	averaged over 3-5 years. Where packer records are not available, regional averages will be sourced from State Industry Organisations.	- Prices at markets are also produced daily for different sizes and varieties. (90% of cherry fruit is sold and eaten fresh. Any value adding is done by buyers and or lower grade fruit used for juicing, and dried products or pulp
			- The prices for roadside sales would be the same as if they were sold wholesale.
			 The wholesale agents in key markets (such as the Melbourne, Sydney or Brisbane Markets) are the best place for price records, by request.
			- All prices used should be measured at farm gate.

	Definition of Elements from the EPPRD	Evidence Requirements (in hierarchical order)	Additional Information
B	= Harvesting costs based on 'best practice' as estimated by State/Territory departments of agriculture, plus any other costs (such as watering or pruning costs) normally associated with Crop production between the time of tree destruction and harvest.	 Best practice harvest costs will be determined by State/Territory Agriculture Departments in consultation with Relevant Parties, best practice groups and agronomists using applicable local contract prices. This data should be available through State organisations. Harvesting and any other costs normally associated with crop production are to be determined in accordance with Appendix 1: Schedule of costs for B and C: Normal production and harvesting costs, based on 'best practice' incurred by the Owner. 	Best Practice Groups are typically State/Territory based.
C	= Direct costs associated with the Response Plan incurred by the Owner but not normally incurred as a production expense.	 This will depend on what the Response Plan requires and will need to be calculated on an Incident by Incident basis with costs estimated using standard local or regional contract prices and industry data as appropriate. Normal costs to be determined in accordance with Appendix 1: Schedule of costs for B and C: Normal production and harvesting costs, based on 'best practice' incurred by the Owner. A schedule of costs additional to these, required by the Response Plan, will be developed by the Lead Agency at the time of the Incident. 	 Required actions/treatments by Owners need to be specifically defined in the Response Plan. The legislative order needs to specify the actions/treatments required that are to be undertaken by the Owner.

Definition of Elements from the EP	PRD Evidence Requirements (in hierarchical order)	Additional Information
D = Replacement value of any capita destroyed as part of the Response Plan.	al items Replacement value of any capital items destroyed will depend on what the Response Plan requires and will need to be calculated on an Incident by Incident basis. Prices will be sourced from suppliers like: Landmark; Elders; Roberts; or Other specialist suppliers at the time of the Incident. Costs are to be determined in accordance with a schedule of market values for items expected to be destroyed, replacing like with like, and agreed by Relevant Parties at the time of developing a Response Plan.	 Foundation and the intermediation of the second structures is the second structure of the second structure structur

	Definition of Elements from the EPPRD	Evidence Requirements (in hierarchical order)	Additional Information
E	 = Loss of net profits for any fallow period required by a Response Plan. Net profit is to be standardised based on regional gross margins calculations for the Crop in question by State/Territory departments of agriculture. 	A standard Schedule of regional gross margins will be used to estimate costs based on "best practice." This will be determined by State/Territory Agriculture Departments in consultation with Relevant Parties and best practice groups. Costs to be determined in accordance with Appendix 2: Schedule of costs for E – Loss of net profit from a compulsory fallow.	 If a period of fallow is not required by the Response Plan, E does not apply to ORC. Gross margins information for different regions may be sourced from state agencies, industry organisations, or agronomists.
F	Tree destruction costs 'depreciated' depending on the age of the orchard in relation to a standardised period of rotation for the tree Crop in question.	Costs to be determined in accordance with <i>Appendix 3:</i> <i>Schedule of costs for F – Tree destruction costs</i> and based on best practice and applicable contract prices. The standard period of rotation is to be considered as 30 years unless a grower can provide evidence of a different intention.	Normally, destruction will be carried out by the Lead Agency and not included as part of the ORC calculation.
	G = 'Depreciated' tree replanting costs as for tree destruction costs.	Costs to be determined in accordance with Appendix 4: Schedule of costs for G – Tree replanting costs. Standard costs will apply for the region as agreed by the Relevant Parties.	This cost is for replacing like with like. If there is an opportunity following the Response Plan for modernising or upgrading the orchard – for example, closer tree plantings, more expensive varieties, or trellis plantings, the level of ORC is to be related strictly to replacing the asset that was there. If an Owner wants to introduce more technology or better infrastructure, for example, the Owner must cover additional costs (<i>Schedule</i> <i>6, part 4.4.13</i>). Costs are typically calculated on a tree by tree basis, for a hectare of trees, or part of a hectare and based on best practice. New trees are used for replanting. These would typically be 12 months old at time of planting.

	Definition of Elements from the EPPRD	Evidence Requirements (in hierarchical order)	Additional Information
H	= 'Depreciated' loss of profit during the non-bearing period of immature trees.	The yield curve and the comparative gross margin will be used to determine this loss. The yield curve values can be found in <i>Appendix 5: Cherry</i> <i>Production Yield Data and Planting Density Table</i>	New trees typically don't come into production for 3 years after planting and come into full production by year 6-7. Harvesting commences year 4 (approximately 15% production at age 4 years).
			The expected lifespan of trees is unknown – No current Industry knowledge of rootstock lifespan. Consumer preferences are more likely to impact on the "lifespan" of the fruiting scion and top working as opposed to tree replacement being practiced. An average of 30 years for the plant as a whole is a good approximation.
1	= Value of any stored produce on farm destroyed as a directive of the Response Plan including seed or nuts — as for annual broadacre Crops. If there is an opportunity following the Response Plan for modernising or upgrading the orchard — for example, closer tree plantings, more expensive varieties, or trellis plantings, the level of Owner Reimbursement Costs is to be related strictly to replacing the asset that was there. If an Owner wants to introduce more technology or better infrastructure, for example, the Owner must cover any additional costs	This will depend on what the Response Plan requires and will be calculated on an Incident by Incident basis.Price and yield to be determined using the applicable method as described in "A".Amount of any stored fruit will be determined by inspection at the time of the Incident.	Cherries are cooled by hydro cooling after picking and are then stored for up to a couple of weeks on farm.

With such costs and values being determined in accordance with guidelines issued by Plant Health Australia as set out in Schedule 17 of the EPPRD.

APPENDIX 1: Schedule of Costs for B and C - normal production and harvesting costs, based on 'best practice' incurred by the Owner Appendix 1 includes: Appendix 1.1: Tasmania 2014 and Appendix 1.2: Orange 2003

APPENDIX 1.1: Schedule of Costs for B and C - Tasmania 2014.

NOTE: These costs are estimates. In the event of an incursion where Owner Reimbursement Costs may be paid, the costs and values will be reviewed and updated by agreement of the Relevant Parties to allow current and case specific information to be used.

Enterprise:	Gross margin for irrigated	l central leader cherry produ	iction				
Description:	Central Leader mature tree	es					
Location:	Tasmania 2014						Standard
GROSS MARGIN	N BUDGET:	1 hectare Pla	nting density (tr etable vield)	rees/ha):	Marketable Yield	1100 11.880 kg	Budget \$/Ha
INCOME:		00,0 1 (1	10.	8 Marketable kgs/tree	@	\$10.00 /kg	\$118,800.00
					A. Total Income		\$118,800
VARIABLE COST	TS:						
Plant Protection							
Disea	se control - fungicides						
Propio	conazole	0.5 L/ha	@	\$75.00	/L	4 appl.	\$150.00
Benor	nyl	0.75 kg/ha	@	\$58.00	/kg	1 appl.	\$43.50
Flow E	Bordeaux	20 kg/ha	@	\$10.50	/kg	1 appl.	\$210.00
Chioro	otnaionii	3.2 L/na	a a	\$22.00	/L	1 appl.	\$70.40
loral	one (Post harvest)	0.25 L/tonne	Q	\$76.00	/kg /l	1 appl.	\$19.00
Insec	t control - insecticides		-				+
Hort		20 L/ba	Ø	¢1 /5	/1	1 appl	00 0C\$
Limes	sulphur	0 L/ha	Q	\$0	/	0 appl.	\$0.00
Tau-flu	uvalinate	0 L/ha	@	\$0	/L	0 appl.	\$0.00
Fenthi	on	0 L/ha	@	\$0	/L	0 appl.	\$0.00
Chlorp	oyrifos	1.5 L/ha	@	\$12.00	/L	2 appl.	\$36.00
Carba	iryl aco mothul	3 L/ha	@	\$11.00	/L	2 appl.	\$66.00
Propa	rgite	0 kg/ha	@	\$0 \$0	/kg /kg	0 appl. 0 appl.	\$0.00
Weed	l control - herbicides						
Glyph	osate	2 I /ha	Q	\$6.00	/1	2 appl	\$24.00
Glufos	sinate- ammonium	4 L/ha	@	\$17.25	/L	1 appl.	\$69.00
Paraq	uat	0 L/ha	@	\$0	/L	0 appl.	\$0.00
Nutrition							
Fertills	sers						
Lime		0.3 t/ha	@	\$78.00	/t	1 appl.	\$23.40
Ammo	onium nitrate	125 kg/ha	@	\$0.39	/kg	1 appl.	\$48.75
Super	phosphate	80 kg/ha	@	\$0.25	/kg	1 appl.	\$20.00
Calciu	im i	5 L/ha	@	\$2.25	/L	3 appl.	\$33.75
Potasi	esium sium sulphate	o L/na	@ @	\$10.00 \$0.60	/L /ka	i appi.	\$50.00 \$54.00
Boron	sprav	1 I /ha	Q	\$5.04	/kg /l	2 appl.	\$10.08
Zinc s	pray	1 L/ha	@	\$12.00	/L	1 appl.	\$12.00
Leaf te	esting	1 kit	@	\$50.00	/kit		\$50.00
Soil te	esting	1 kit	@	\$50.00	/kit		\$50.00
Canopy Manage	oment - Pruning						
Winter	r	0 mins/tree	@	\$0	/hr		\$0.00
Preha	rvest	0 mins/tree	0	\$0	/hr		\$0.00
Postna	arvest	15 mins/tree	Ŵ	\$13.83	/nr		\$3,803.25
Crop Load Mana	agement						
Pollina Emit ti	ation (Hive hire)	3 hives/ha		\$30.00	/hive		\$90.00
Fruit ti	in in it it iy	o mins/tree		20	/10		ΦU
irrigation			_				
Total	water	3 ML/ha	@	\$100.00	/ML		\$300.00
Machinery							
Mowir	ng/slashing	1.5 hrs/ha	@	\$13.00	/hr	3 appl.	\$58.50

P	esticide application	1.5 hrs/ha	@	\$13.00	/hr 2	0 appl.	\$390.00	
Н	lerbicide application	1 hrs/ha	@	\$13.00	/hr	2 appl.	\$26.00	
Н	larvesting	5 hrs/ha	@	\$13.00	/hr	3 appl.	\$195.00	
F	ertilising	1 hrs/ha	@	\$13.00	/hr	2 appl.	\$26.00	
P	runing	3 hrs/ha	@	\$13.00	/hr	3 appl.	\$117.00	
Harvesting								
P	licking	13320 kg	@	\$0.93	/kg		\$12,387.60	
				(inc 9%super and 9% w	vorkers comp)			
Packing								
Q	luarter case	1998 cases/ha	@	\$1.43	/case		\$2,857.14	
В	lags	1998 cases/ha	@	\$0.07	/case		\$139.86	
В	subble	1998 cases/ha	@	\$0.10	/case		\$199.80	
S	orting, grading & packing	1998 cases/ha	@	\$2.50	/case		\$4,995.00	
Marketing								
Т	ransport	1998 cases/ha	@	\$0.75	/case		\$1,498.50	
L	evies	1998 cases/ha	@	\$0.05	/case		\$99.90	
					R Total Variable Costs	-	\$28 258 73	
						-	φ20,200.70	
					GROSS MARGIN/HA (A-B)		\$90,541	
				1	GROSS MARGIN/CASE			
					TOTAL VARIABLE COST/CA	ASE		

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

10 Total equipment value

Forklift

Effect of Yield and Price on Gross Margin/Ha

Ī	Marketable Yield			Crop Price) (\$/kg)			
	kgs/ha)	\$6.00	\$7.00	\$8.00	\$9.00	\$10.00	\$11.00	\$12.00
F								
	6600							
	7700							
	8800							
	9900							
	11000							
	12100							
	13200							
	14300							
	Cost Summon			Total	Oest//m	% Oast		
	Disease control - fundicio	60		0181 \$722	COSI/Kg	% COSL		
	nsect control - insecticid	es		\$131	0.28	0.5		
	Need control - herbicide:	s		\$93	0.03	0.3		
N	Nutrition	0		\$352	0.13	1.3		
F	Pruning			\$2,303	0.86	8.5		
F	Pollination			\$90	0.03	0.3		
	rrigation			\$300	0.11	1.1		
N	Vachinerv			\$813	0.3	3		
ŀ	Harvesting			\$12,388	4.65	45.9		
F	Packing			\$8,192	3.08	30.3		
Ν	Varketing			\$1,598	0.6	5.9		
			Total	\$26,992	\$10.13	100		
CHERRIE:	Cost of Production	ieore	etical Pro	perty Size	10 ha			
C	hange any BLACK figur	es - BLUE are au	tomatica	lly calculated				
Property Si	ze / Ha							
Major cap∟	ife / Years		Cost		Avge	Cost/Ha/Year		
Property v	50	\$	400,000		\$8,000			
New Dam	50		\$80,000		\$1,600			
New Bore	10		\$20,000		\$2,000			
Bird Net S	10	\$	300,000		\$30,000			
Packing SI	50		\$60,000		\$1,200			
Fruit Grade	10	2	500,000		\$50,000			
Coolstore/	30		\$80,000		\$2,007			
Interest or	10 7.00	J% \$	100,800		\$100,800			
Total major	capital items	ቅ \$1 (144,000 584 800		\$144,000	\$34 027		
Total major	oupitaritorito	ψ1,	501,000		\$010,201	φ01,021		
Equipmen∟	ife / Years		Cost		Avge			
Tractor 1	20	:	\$65,000		\$3,250			
Tractor 2	20	:	\$45,000		\$2,250			
Airblast sp	10	:	\$22,000		\$2,200			
Mower/s	10	:	\$10,000		\$1,000			
Weed spra	10		\$3,000		\$300			
Trailer/s	15		\$7,500		\$500			
E 110	10		***		\$0.000			

\$2,300

\$11,800

\$1,180

\$23,000

\$175,500

Orchard S Row space Tree	e space	Trees / Ha		sq.m/ha
5	3	667		10000
Plants / Cc 667	\$10.50	\$7,000		
Block Irrigation setup		\$5,000		
Soil prep mechanical		\$1,000		
Pre fertilisation		\$1,000		
Labour to plant		\$2,000		
Harvest cr 1000	\$9	9.00 \$9,000		
Bins @ co: 83	\$45.00	\$3,750		
Total orchard setup		\$28,750		
Life of crop 15				\$1,917
Annual costs per ha				
Fuel / Oil / Maint / Repairs	6	\$2,000		
Irrigation water & labour		\$1,000		
Fertiliser & Labour		\$1,000		
Pruning / training		\$1,000		
Cropwatch		\$300		
Mowing labour		\$500		
Chemicals		\$750		
Chem applic labour		\$500		
Bird/bat net maintenance		\$1,000		
Mngmt Overheads pe		\$10,000		\$18,050
Pick/store/pack costs				
Bin weight 180				
Crop per F 15000		83 bins		
Picking lak \$180.00		\$15,000		
Dipping cc \$10.00		\$833		
Cool stora \$0.00		\$ -		
HydroCoo \$45.00		\$3,750		\$19,583
Carton we 5.05 kg				
% Packou 80%	2,	376 cartons		
Carton \$1.20		\$2,851		
Fridays \$0.30		\$713		
Labels \$0.05		\$119		
Levy \$0.00		\$ -		
Pack char \$55.00		\$4,583		\$8,267
Irees/ha 667			Total Costs	\$83,023
Net kgs/h 12000	NEI	NEI		
Cartons p 2,376	Avg Price	Avg Price		
Packed kt 18	Carton	kg		
Net \$ per \$71.29	\$20.00	\$3.96	Net Sale	\$47,525
· · - · · · · · ·				
st of Prod per carton	\$34.94	\$6.92	Profit per Ha	-\$35,498

APPENDIX 1.2: Schedule of Costs for B and C - Orange 2003.

NOTE: These costs are estimates. In the event of an incursion where Owner Reimbursement Costs may be paid, the costs and values will be reviewed and updated by agreement of the Relevant Parties to allow current and case specific information to be used.

Enterprise:	Gross margin for irrigated central leader cherry production
Description:	Central Leader mature trees
Location:	Orange 2003

Location	Orange 2003							Standard
GROSS I	MARGIN BUDGET:	1 75%	hectare Packout	Planting density (tree: (marketable vield)	s/ha):	Vield	666 13320 ka	Budget \$/Ha
INCOME:				4	cases/tree	0	\$25.00 /case	\$49,950.00
						A. Total Income		\$49,950.00
VARIABL	E COSTS:							
Plant Pro	tection							
	Disease control - fungicides							
	Propiconazole	0.5	l /ha	0	\$75.00	Л	4 appl.	\$150.00
	Benomyl	0.75	kg/ha	0	\$58.00	/kg	1 appl.	\$43.50
	Flow Bordeaux Chlorothalonil	20	kg/ha L/ha	0	\$10.50	/kg /l	1 appl. 1 appl	\$210.00 \$70.40
	copper oxychloride	6	kg/ha	ő	\$1.05	/kg	1 appl.	\$6.30
	Iprodione (Post harvest)	0.25	L/tonne	0	\$76.00	ΛL	1 appl.	\$19.00
	Insect control - Insecticides							
	Hort. Mineral Oil	20	L/ha	0	\$1.45	/L	1 appl.	\$29.00
	Tau-fluvalinate	0	L/ha	0	\$0 \$0	/L	0 appl.	\$0.00
	Fenthion	0	L/ha	0	\$0	ΛL	0 appl.	\$0.00
	Chlorpyrifos	1.5	L/ha	0	\$12.00	AL A	2 appl.	\$36.00
	Azinphos methyl	0	kg/ha	0	\$11.00	/kg	2 appl. 0 appl.	\$0.00
	Propargite	0	kg/ha	0	\$0	/kg	0 appl.	\$0.00
	Weed control - herbicides							
	Glyphosate	2	L/ha	0	\$6.00	ΛL	2 appl.	\$24.00
	Glutosinate- ammonium Paraquat	4	L/ha L/ha	0	\$17.25 \$0	ЛL ЛL	1 appl. 0 appl.	\$69.00 \$0.00
Nutrition								
	Fertilisers							
	Lima	0.2	t/bo		\$78.00	A	1 appl	¢92.40
	Ammonium nitrate	125	kg/ha	0	\$0.39	/kg	1 appl.	\$48.75
	Superphosphate	80	kg/ha	0	\$0.25	/kg	1 appl.	\$20.00
	Calcium Magnesium	5	L/ha L/ha	0	\$2.25	/L /	3 appl. 1 appl	\$33.75
	Potassium sulphate	90	kg/ha	0	\$0.60	/kg	1 appl.	\$54.00
	Boron spray	1	L/ha	0	\$5.04	/L	2 appl.	\$10.08
	Leaf testing	1	L/na kit	0	\$12.00	/L /kit	1 appi.	\$12.00
	Soil testing	1	kit	0	\$50.00	/kit		\$50.00
Canopy I	Management - Pruning							
	Winter	0	mins/tree	. 0	\$0	/hr		\$0.00
	Prenarvest Postharvest	15	mins/tree mins/tree	e @	\$U \$13.83	/nr /hr		\$0.00 \$2,302.70
Crop Los	d Management							
	Pollination (Hive hire)	3	hives/ha		\$30.00	/hive		\$90.00
	Fruit thinning	5	mins/tree	•	\$0	/hr		\$0
Irrigation								
	Total water	3	ML/ha	0	\$100.00	/ML		\$300.00
Machiner	у							
	Mowing/slashing	1.5	hrs/ha	0	\$13.00	/hr	3 appl.	\$58.50
	Pesticide application	1.5	hrs/ha	0	\$13.00	/hr	20 appl.	\$390.00
	Harvesting	5	nrs/na hrs/ha	0	\$13.00	/nr /hr	2 appi. 3 appi.	\$26.00 \$195.00
	Fertilising	1	hrs/ha	0	\$13.00	/hr	2 appl.	\$26.00
	Pruning	3	hrs/ha	0	\$13.00	/hr	3 appl.	\$117.00
Harvestin	g							
	Picking	13320	kg	@ (i	\$0.93 inc 9%super and 9% v	/kg workers comp)		\$12,387.60
Packing								
	Quarter case Baos	1998	cases/ha	0	\$1.43	/case		\$2,857.14
	Bubble	1998	cases/ha	. 0	\$0.10	/case		\$199.80
	Sorting, grading & packing	1998	cases/ha	0	\$2.50	/case		\$4,995.00
Marketin	9							
	Transport	1998	cases/ha	0	\$0.75	/case		\$99.90
	LEVICS	1998	୍ଧରଖ୍ୟର/ମଧ	4	\$U.05	/udse		φ1,498.50
						B. Total Variable	9 Costs	\$26,758.18
						GROSS MARGI	N/HA (A-B)	\$23,191.83
						GROSS MARGI	N/CASE	\$11.49
						TOTAL VARIABI	E COST/CASE	\$10.13

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Effect of Yield and Price on Gross Margin/Ha

Yield	Crop Price (\$/case)										
(cases/ha	\$10.00	\$15.00	\$20.00 \$25.00		\$30.00	\$35.00	\$40.00				
200	-5,034	-4,034	-3,034	-2,034	-1,034	-34	966				
400	-5,254	-3,254	-1,254	746	2,746	4,746	6,746				
600	-5,474	-2,474	526	3,526	6,526	9,526	12,526				
800	-5,694	-1,694	2,306	6,306	10,306	14,306	18,306				
1000	-5,914	-914	4,086	9,086	14,086	19,086	24,086				

	2000 2500		-7,014 -7,564	2,986 4,936	12,986 17,436	22,986 29,936	32,986 42,436	42,986 54,936	52,986 67,436
	3000		-8,114	6,886	21,886	36,886	51,886	66,886	81,886
	Cost Summa	ıry			Total	Cost/case	% Cost		
	Disease contr	ol - fungicides			\$733	0.28	2.7		
	Weed control	- INSECTICIDES			\$131	0.05	0.5		
	Nutrition	1010101003			\$352	0.03	0.3		
	Pruning				\$2,303	0.86	8.5		
	Pollination				\$90	0.03	0.3		
	Irrigation				\$300	0.11	1.1		
	Machinery				\$813	0.3	3		
	Packing				\$8,192	4.65	45.9		
	Marketing				\$1,598	0.6	5.9		
				Total	\$26,992	\$10.13	100		
CHERDIE	Cost of Prod	uction		poretical Pro-	perty Size	10	ha		
	Change any E	BLACK figures -	BLUE ar	e automatic	ally calcula	ted			
Property	Size / Ha								
Major ca	Life / Years			Cost		Avge	Cost/Ha/Year		
Property \	50			\$400,000		\$8,000			
New Bore	10			\$20,000 \$20,000		\$1,000			
Bird Net 9	3 10			\$300.000		\$30.000			
Packing S	50			\$60,000		\$1,200			
Fruit Grad	I 10			\$500,000		\$50,000			
Coolstore	/ 30			\$80,000		\$2,667			
Interest or	r 10	7.00%		\$100,800		\$100,800			
Loan prine	<u>10</u>			\$144,000		\$144,000	.		
ı otal majo	u capital items			*****		\$340,267	\$34,027		
Equipme	rLife / Years			Cost		Avge			
Tractor 1	20			\$65,000		\$3,250			
I ractor 2	20			\$45,000		\$2,250			
Monto-/-	10			\$22,000		\$2,200			
Weed en	10 10			910,000 \$3,000		000,1¢ 000\$			
Trailer/s	15			\$7,500 \$7,500		\$500			
Forklift	10			\$23,000		\$2,300			
Total equi	ipment value			\$175,500		\$11,800	\$1,180		
Orchard	Row space Tre	e space	T	Trees / Ha			sq.m/ha		
	5	3		667			10000		
Plants / C	667	\$10.50		\$7,000					
Block Irrig	ation setup			\$5,000					
Soil prep	mechanical			\$1,000					
Pre tertilis	auun			\$1,000 \$2,000					
Labour to	ושוע 1000 r		\$9.00	₩2,000 \$9,000					
Bins @ co) 83	\$45.00	υJU.UU	φ3,000 \$3,750					
Total orch	nard setup	÷.0.00		\$28,750					
Life of cro	15						\$1,917		
Annuel	osts ner he								
Fuel / Oil	/ Maint / Repai	rs		\$2,000					
Irrigation v	water & labour			\$1,000					
Fertiliser 8	& Labour			\$1,000					
Pruning /	training			\$1,000					
Cropwate	h			\$300					
Chemical	adodl" S			\$500					
Chem and	olic labour			\$500					
Bird/bat n	et maintenanc	e		\$1,000					
Mngmt O	verheads p∈			\$10,000			\$18,050		
Pick/ston	e/pack costs								
Bin weigh	1 180								
Crop per	H 15000		83	bins					
Picking la	t \$180.00			\$15,000					
Dipping o	\$10.00			\$833					
HudroC-	3 \$U.00 \$45.00			φ - \$9.750			\$10 FOC		
Carton w	. 040.00 3 5.05 km			φ ο,75 0			\$19,083 \$		
% Packo	J 80%		2,376	cartons					
Carton	\$1.20			\$2,851					
Fridays	\$0.30			\$713					
Labels	\$0.05			\$119					
Levy Pack chro	\$0.00 r \$55.00			\$ - \$4 599			¢0 007		
, aun chai				¢++,⊃63					
Trees/ha	667					Total Costs	\$83,023		
Net kgs/h	12000	NET	Т	NET					
Cartons p	2,376	Avg Price		Avg Price					
Net \$ Der	\$71.29	\$20.00		ky \$3.96		Net Sale	\$47.525		
t of Prod	per carton	\$34.94		\$6.92		Profit per Ha	-\$35,498		

APPENDIX 2: Schedule of costs for E - Loss of net profit from a compulsory fallow

NOTE: These costs are estimates. In the event of an incursion where Owner Reimbursement Costs may be paid, the costs and values will be reviewed and updated by agreement of the Relevant Parties to allow current and case specific information to be used.

Where an Owner has auditable records to support a different Gross Margin (possibly due to different production methods or systems), this should be used. Where no records for some or all elements of the Gross Margins exist, those contained within Appendix 1 will be substituted/used.

Loss of net profit from a compulsory fallow will be calculated from the Gross Margins (Appendix 1), with specific reference to an Owners individual Gross Margin, as appropriate. The assumptions used to develop the Gross Margins will have to be considered when referring to them (eg, age of planting, planting density etc)

APPENDIX 3: Schedule of costs for F – Tree destruction costs

NOTE: These costs are estimates. In the event of an incursion where Owner Reimbursement Costs may be paid, the costs and values will be reviewed and updated by agreement of the Relevant Parties to allow current and case specific information to be used.

Cost	Rationale	Rate	Comments
	Dozer to remove and chip trees	Ha /day	Trees are removed and mulched on site tree age above 8 years
	Dozer / tractor stick racks	Hr/Ha	Remove tree roots /irrigation
	Fumigation of tree roots	\$/Ha	
	Tree 1 – 4 years Bobcat	\$/hr	Root growth allows for smaller equipment
	Tree 5 – 8 years Excavator with a grab	\$/hr	Can be removed with a grab and windrowed
	Tree 8 – plus Dozer	\$/hr	Larger tree require larger equipment
	Tree 8 – plus Forestry Flail	\$/hr	Mulches and remove trees in one process stumps and roots down to 6 cm
			Assumes sub mains and mains are not disturbed
	Removal of irrigation pipe or sprinklers heads	\$/hr	Pipe dragged out of orchard and stored for reuse.
			Irrigation may be reused may need to be sterilised if reused

APPENDIX 4: Schedule of costs for G - Tree replanting costs

NOTE: These costs are estimates. In the event of an incursion where Owner Reimbursement Costs may be paid, the costs and values will be reviewed and updated by agreement of the Relevant Parties to allow current and case specific information to be used.

These estimations are based on the assumption of 1100 trees/Ha.

Cost/Ha	Rationale	Comments
\$8,800	Site preparation (including labour, machinery usage and fertiliser costs etc.)	Ripping, discing, mounding, fertiliser, green manure crop, lime. Site preparation requirements may vary depending on the operations required by the Response Plan to eradicate the EPP.
\$11,000	Planting (including labour and machinery costs)	Assumed the planting retains its value.
\$14,300	Tree price	Assumed the planting retains its value. Assume \$13.00 per /tree.

Source: DPIPWE (May 2013), Profitability and Gross Margin Analysis for Cherries (updated by FGT and DPIPWE February 2014)

*Capital Items (e.g. irrigation and trellising) will be covered under 'D=Replacement value of any capital items destroyed as part of the Response Plan'

APPENDIX 5: Cherry Production Yield Data and Planting Density Table

The year in which full production is reached after establishment can be determined by planting density.

1. Determine the average trunk circumference and age of the tree for a given rootstock under local conditions. Refer to the crop load table overleaf to determine optimum yield and target fruit size.

Consider the target yield per hectare and year in which it would be desirable for full production to be reached, and reconcile information from point one above in the planning process.
 Divide target yield (in kgs) per hectare by the kg per tree from crop load table (tonnes per hectare/kg per tree) to give the number of trees per hectare

4. Consult the table below to determine planting density. Recommended planting distances are shown by shading for each rootstock.

Distance between trees (m)	Dista	ance betwe	en rows (r	n)	Distance between trees (m)	Dista	ance betwe	en rows (r	n)	Distance between trees (m)	Dis	tance betwe	en rows (r	n)
	3.5	4	4.5	5		3.5	4	4.5	5		3.5	4	4.5	5
1	2857	2500	2222	2000	1	2857	2500	2222	2000	1	2857	2500	2222	2000
1.5	1904	1666	1481	1333	1.5	1904	1666	1481	1333	1.5	1904	1666	1481	1333
2	1428	1250	1111	1000	2	1428	1250	1111	1000	2	1428	1250	1111	1000
2.5	1142	1000	888	800	2.5	1142	1000	888	800	2.5	1142	1000	888	800
3	952	833	747	666	3	952	833	747	666	3	952	833	747	666
3.5	816	714	634	571	3.5	816	714	634	571	3.5	816	714	634	571
4	714	625	555	500	4	714	625	555	500	4	714	625	555	500
4.5	634	555	493	444	4.5	634	555	493	444	4.5	634	555	493	444
F12/1 600-1200 trees per hectare						Colt 6	666-1600 tr	ees per he	ctare		G6	1000-2000	trees per h	ectare

These tables are intended as a guide only and are based on averages. There will be some variation between sites.

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Yield (kg/tree)	0	0	1.5	5	7.5	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Gross Yield (kg/Ha) - assuming 1250 trees/Ha	0	0	18750	62500	93750	100000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000
Year	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Yield (kg/tree)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Gross Yield (kg/Ha) - assuming 1250 trees/Ha	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000	125000